

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/601,136		06/20/2003	Makoto Kudo	81751.0062	5957	
26021	7590	08/04/2006		EXAMINER		
HOGAN &		SON L.L.P.	LAI, VINCENT			
SUITE 190		NOE		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611				2181		
				DATE MAILED: 08/04/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/601,136	KUDO, MAKOTO)				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Vincent Lai	2181					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	<u> </u>		dress				
This application is abandoned in view of:							
1. ☑ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>14 December 2005</u> .							
(a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of	Mailing or Transmission dated f month(s)) which expired on _), which is after the e ——·					
(b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.							
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely file Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37	ed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);						
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).							
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.							
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).							
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).							
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.							
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$							
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.							
Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as rec Allowability (PTO-37).	quired by, and within the three-month	period set in, the No	tice of				
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	nsmission dated), which is				
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.							
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.							
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by a 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	n attorney or agent (acting in a repres	sentative capacity un	der 37 CFR				
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed cla		se the period for see	king court review				
7. The reason(s) below:	Q ₁	13 mg 1000	$\widehat{\mathbb{A}}$				
See Continuation Sheet	SUPERVISOR	ITZ FLEMING RY PATENT EXAMINE OGY CENTER 2100	Y K				
		8/2/2001	r Ø				
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.							
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) Notice	of Abandonment	Part of Par	per No. 20060731				

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: A phone call was made to Troy Schmelzer on August 1st, 2006 at 1:30 PM, in which the attorney was informed that application is to be abandoned for not timely responding to the non-final office action mailed out on December 14, 2005.

Examiner recognizes contention of attorney that a response was sent out in March 2006 and encourages the attorney to petition to withdraw holding of abandoment based on evidence that a reply was timely mailed or filed (See MPEP 711.03(c) [R-3], section B) if such is the case.